

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,280	12/10/2001	Frank Himmelsbach	5/1262	7351	
28505 7	7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER		
MICHAEL P. MORRIS BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION			TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO		
900 RIDGEBU		OKATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P. O. BOX 368			1624		
RIDGEFIELD	, CT 06877-0368	·	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/016,280	HIMMELSBACH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tamthom N. Truong	1624		

	Tamthom N. Truong	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	/DTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>21 and 22</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See attachment.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: attached Bib. Data Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Application/Control Number: 10/016,280 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

ADVISORY ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 3-13-06 has been considered. The request of withdrawing the previous rejection of Obviousness-type Double Patenting (ODP) has not been found persuasive for the following reason:

In applicant's response of 3-13-06, the ODP rejection was traversed on the grounds that the subject matter covered by the claims of the US patent was deemed patentably distinct and thus 2-way obviousness is required. This is not persuasive since the instant application was filed prior to the copending application of 10/023,099 (now US 7,019,012).

A review of the MPEP 804, section (a), pp. 88-23, August 2001 Ed. states that where an application at issue is the earlier filed application, only a one-way determination (for obviousness) is required unless two conditions are met. In the instant case prong A, i.e. sufficient evidence of administrative delay on the part of the PTO, has not been met, and thus, the rejection must be maintained.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of priority documents of DE 199-28-281.1 & DE 100-23-085.7 submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which documents have been placed of record in the file.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamthom N. Truong

Examiner Art Unit 1624

3-29-06

EMILY BERNHARDT Wilson

GROUP 1600